FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
L.P.Appeal No. 118 of 2006
in
Writ Petition No. 2450 of 2005

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. N. M. Ganguli for petitioner
Mr. C.R. Sadasivan for applicant.
Ms. Mohina Thakur AGP for State
Mr. Kishore Shetty for respondent nos. 1 and 2.

CORAM: SMT.RANJANA DESAI
&
SHRI A.P.DESHPANDE JJ.
DATE:25/07/2006

P.C.:

1. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. He contended that no opportunity was given to the appellant to cross examine the witnesses and examine his own witnesses. We have been taken through the order dated 16.7.2004 passed by the Presiding Officer, University and College Tribunal, Mumbai. In this order the Presiding Officer has observed that the appellant refused to cross examine the witnesses though opportunity was given to him and he tried to prolong the enquiry. The appellant even boycotted the enquiry. We have no reason to

take a different view.

2. So far as the findings of the learned Presiding Officer as regards the charges levelled against the appellant are concerned we feel that they are well founded. The learned Single Judge has rightly concurred with the Presiding Officer of the Tribunal. In our opinion no case is made out for interference. Hence appeal is rejected.

(SHRI A.P.DESHPANDE J.) (SMT.RANJANA DESAI J)